We have studied the magnetoresistance of a quantum point contact fabricated on a high mobility two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) exposed to microwave irradiation. The resistance reveals giant B-periodic oscillations with a relative amplitude $\Delta R/R$ of up to 700% resulting from the propagation and interference of the edge magnetoplasmons (EMPs) in the sample. This giant photoconductance is attributed to the considerably large local electron density modulation in the vicinity of the point contact. We have also analyzed the oscillation periods $\Delta B$ of the resistance oscillations and, comparing the data with the EMP theory, extracted the EMP interference length $L$. We have found that the length $L$ substantially exceeds the distance between the contact leads, and rather corresponds to the distance between metallic contact pads measured along the edge of the 2DEG. This resolves existing controversy in the literature and should help to properly design highly sensitive microwave and terahertz spectrometers based on the discussed effect.
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A number of interesting magnetotransport phenomena were discovered in recent years in a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) placed in a perpendicular magnetic field $B$ and exposed to microwave (MW) irradiation. In low magnetic fields, corresponding to the condition $\omega_c \lesssim \omega$, so-called microwave-induced resistance oscillations (MIROs), with zero-resistance states (ZRS), were observed [1–4]; here, $\omega_c = eB/m^*c$ and $\omega = 2\pi f$ are the cyclotron and microwave frequencies and $m^*$ is the electron effective mass. These oscillations of the diagonal resistance $R$ are periodic in $1/B$ and are especially pronounced at low temperatures $T$ $\simeq$ 1 K in samples with a high electron mobility.

In the opposite regime of higher magnetic fields, $\omega_c \gtrsim \omega$, another type of magnetoresistance oscillations was discovered [5]. These oscillations are periodic in $B$ and were explained by the excitation and interference of edge magnetoplasmons (EMPs) in the sample. The incident microwave radiation excites the oscillating (dipole) electric field at the boundaries between the 2DEG and metallic contacts. These dipole fields generate plasma waves—EMPs—propagating along the sample edge between the contacts on which the magnetoresistance $R$ is measured. Due to the interference of EMPs, generated by different contacts, $R$ oscillates as a function of the parameter $qL$, where $q(\omega, B)$ is the EMP wave vector and $L$ is the intercontact distance [5]. In strong magnetic fields $q(\omega, B)$ is proportional to $\omega B/n_s$, where $n_s$ is the electron density [6,7]. This leads to $B$-periodic oscillations with a period $\Delta B \propto n_s/\omega L$. This theoretically predicted dependence was confirmed by experiments [5,8]. EMPs have a much smaller damping, as compared to bulk magnetoplasmons [6,7], and, in contrast to the MIRO-ZRS effect, the EMP-related oscillations do not require low temperatures and samples with very high electron mobility: They were observed at least up to $T \gtrsim 80$ K [8]. These EMP properties make the considered phenomenon especially promising for the creation of miniature microwave frequency-sensitive detectors and spectrometers.

The frequency and density dependence of the oscillation period $\Delta B \propto n_s/\omega L$, experimentally observed in the first papers [5,8], was confirmed in a later publication by Stone et al. [9]. However, in contrast to Refs. [5,8], they found that $\Delta B$ does not depend on the distance $L$ between the contacts. This contradiction remains unexplained so far; actually, it raises the question which length should be understood under $L$ in the discussed phenomenon. Notice that in Refs. [5,8,9] $L$ was assumed to be equal to the distance $L_{ab}$ between points $a$ and $b$ in Fig. 1(b), i.e., the points where the contact leads (made out of the 2DEG) touch the 2DEG channel.

In this Rapid Communication we investigate EMP-related magnetoresistance oscillations in GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well structures. Our work differs from the previous publications [5,8,9] in three essential aspects. First, the distance $L_{ab}$ in our samples ($\simeq 60$ $\mu$m) is almost two orders of magnitude shorter than in Refs. [5,8,9]. If the oscillation period was proportional to $1/L_{ab}$, we would observe a more than ten times larger period $\Delta B$ than in Refs. [5,8,9], but in our experiment $\Delta B \simeq 0.1–0.2$ T, which is close to the oscillation periods in Refs. [5,8,9]. Second, we have performed a more careful, quantitative comparison of our experimental data with the theory [6] and extracted the value of $L$ from the experimental data. It turns out to be of 1 mm scale, which is much larger than $L_{ab}$ but is of order of the distance between the metallic contact pads measured along the edge of the 2DEG. Third, our samples were covered by a thin metallic gate forming a bridged-gate quantum point contact (QPC) [10]. Recently, in Ref. [10], we have shown (at $B = 0$) that the Hall bars with a bridged-gate QPC demonstrate a two to three orders of magnitude higher sensitivity to the microwave irradiation than the structures with a traditional split-gate QPC. In the present work ($B \neq 0$, bridged gate) we have found a much higher oscillation amplitude of the $B$-periodic oscillations.
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\( \Delta R/R \approx 7 \) than in the ungated structures \([5,8,9]\) or in structures with a split-gate QPC \([11]\) \( \Delta R/R < 1 \). Our results lead to a better understanding of the physics of EMP-related magnetoresistance oscillations and pave the way to the creation of more efficient frequency-sensitive detectors of microwave and terahertz radiation.

Our specimens are narrow (14 nm) quantum wells with an electron density \( n_e \approx 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) and mobility of \( \mu \approx 1.3 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V s} \) at 4.2–1.4 K. We measure the resistance on a conventional Hall bar patterned structure, which is designed for multiterminal measurements. The sample consists of three 20 \( \mu \text{m} \) wide consecutive segments of different lengths (60, 20, and 60 \( \mu \text{m} \)) and eight voltage probes [see Fig. 1(a)]. High-quality Ohmic contacts to a 2DEG are made using AuGeNi metallization, followed by an annealing. Two thin metallic gates (bridged-gate QPCs) are sputtered on the central parts of the left and right segments of the Hall bar. The middle segment was used to measure the resistance of the unpatterned 2D electron gas. Four devices were studied and similar results were obtained. The measurements were carried out in a VTI cryostat with a waveguide to deliver MW irradiation (frequency range 110–170 GHz, power density \( \sim 1–10 \text{ mW/cm}^2 \)) down to the sample and by using a conventional lock-in technique to measure the longitudinal resistance \( R = R_{1,2,3,4} \).

Figure 1(c) shows the magnetoresistance of the QPC at a temperature \( T = 4.2 \text{ K} \), frequency \( f = 156 \text{ GHz} \), and several values of the microwave power attenuation factor. Microwave irradiation leads to the strong suppression of resistance at zero magnetic field \([10]\). At \( B \gtrsim 0.7 \text{ T} \) (which corresponds to \( \omega c \gtrsim \omega) \) the resistance reveals large \( B \)-periodic magnetoresistance oscillations. Figure 2 illustrates the magnetoresistance at different (fixed) gate voltages varying from the open-contact regime \((R_0 \lesssim h/e^2 \approx 25.8 \text{ k\Omega})\) to the closed-contact regime \((R_0 \gg h/e^2)\); here, \( R_0 \) is the QPC resistance at \( B = 0 \). The oscillation frequency shows no change with \( V_g \), while the resistance \( R_0 \) increases by several orders of magnitude. The amplitudes of the \( B \)-periodic oscillations are vanishingly small in the unbiased \((V_g = 0)\), as well as in the unpatterned (no gate), structures. When the absolute value of \( V_g \) grows, the oscillation amplitudes first increase (blue and red curves in Fig. 2) but then decrease again (black curve) since at very large negative gate voltages contacts 3 and 4 become decoupled.

Let us analyze the oscillating behavior of \( R(B) \) quantitatively. Figure 4(a) shows the QPC resistance \( R \) at four chosen frequencies in the interval 128–166 GHz. At \( B \gtrsim 0.7 \text{ T} \) one sees about ten maxima of the \( R(B) \) dependence, with the oscillation periods growing with decreasing frequency. We assume \([5]\) that the oscillations are caused by the interference of EMPs excited from two points at the sample boundary separated by a length \( L \) (e.g., by the distance between some contacts) and the maxima of \( R(B) \) are the case when \( qL/2\pi \) is the integer. Then the length \( L \) can be found as follows.

The spectrum of EMPs running along the boundary of a semi-infinite 2DEG is determined by the dispersion relation \([6]\)

\[
\frac{i|q|\sigma_{xx}(\omega)}{q\sigma_{yx}(\omega)} - \tanh \left( \int_0^{\pi/2} \ln \left| \frac{|q|}{\sin^2 \omega} \right| \frac{dt}{\pi} \right) = 0, \quad (1)
\]
The electron density $n_s$, the GaAs dielectric constant ($\kappa = 12.8$), and the thickness of the dielectric layer above the 2DEG ($d = 90$ nm) are known in Eq. (3), therefore we can plot $qL/2\pi$ as a function of $B$ and fit these curves to the experimental points by considering $L$ as a fitting parameter.

Specifically, we proceed as follows. Consider, for example, the upper (magenta) curve in Fig. 3(a). It corresponds to the frequency $f = 166$ GHz and has eight oscillation periods (nine maxima) in the interval $0.86 \leq B \leq 1.92$ T. Plotting the theoretical curve, we choose the length $L$ so that inside this interval there were exactly eight oscillation periods. This gives us both the integer indexes (from $n = 13$ to $n = 21$), unambiguously ascribed to each of the nine maxima of $R(B)$, and the length $L \approx 870 \mu m$ [see Fig. 3(b)]. The accuracy of this method is quite high: A closer look at the figure shows that the two thin magenta curves corresponding to 870 and 890 $\mu m$ give a worse fit to the experimental data.

Using the same method we fit three other sets of experimental data from Fig. 3(a). The lengths found for 148, 132, and 128 GHz are $L = 870, 860, \text{ and } 840 \mu m$ [Fig. 3(b)]. Thus we conclude that the characteristic length which determines the EMP-related oscillations is much

\[ \frac{2\pi n_s e^2}{m^* \kappa \hbar \omega}, \quad \frac{2\pi n_s e^2}{m^* \kappa d \omega^2}, \quad \frac{eB}{m^* c \omega}, \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa. \]  

(3)
larger than the distance $L_{ab}$ in Fig. 1 (inset) and is of the mm scale. This corresponds, approximately, to the distance between points $c$ and $d$ in our experiment.

Similarly, we have also analyzed the data of Refs. [9,5]. Figure 5 shows the results of the fitting procedure for two selected experimental curves from these papers. The interference lengths $L$ found this way are about 2.7 and 1 mm, respectively, which is also larger than the corresponding interference lengths of two selected experimental curves from these papers. The length $L$ found this way are about 2.7 and 1 mm, respectively, which is also larger than the corresponding interference lengths in our work, between the contact pad and the QPC. This agrees with the simple physical picture of EMPs running along the edge of the 2DEG and generated at the boundaries of regions with substantially different electron densities [5], i.e., at the boundaries 2DEG–metal contacts [points $c,d$ in Fig. 1(b)].

One more interesting feature that we have observed in our experiment is the very large amplitude of $B$-periodic oscillations ($\Delta R/R \simeq 7$) which is substantially larger than in previous publications [5,8,9,11] ($\Delta R/R \lesssim 1$). Actually, the oscillations in our experiment appear as a set of narrow resonances with a high-quality factor. We attribute this very useful feature to the use of the bridged-gate QPC which was shown to substantially increase the local microwave field in the near contact area (see Ref. [10]).

To summarize, we have experimentally studied the $B$-periodic microwave-induced magnetoresistance oscillations in GaAs/AlGaAs quantum well samples. Comparing experimental results with theory, we have established that the EMP interference length responsible for the period of magnetoresistance oscillations is presumably determined by the distance between real metallic contacts (contact pads) measured along the boundary of the 2DEG. This partly explains the contradiction between the earlier published results [5,9], but in order to reliably establish which length or lengths are ultimately responsible for the formation of EMP-related oscillations, further experimental studies on samples with a possibly simple geometry of the 2DEG and contact pads are needed, together with a corresponding theoretical modeling. We have also demonstrated that by using bridged-gate QPCs one can substantially increase the amplitude of the $B$-periodic oscillations and hence the sensitivity of the microwave detectors and spectrometers based on the discussed phenomenon.
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